IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

BRIAN HERZIG; and

PLAINTIFFS

NEAL MARTIN

V.

CASE NO. 2:18-CV-2101 (PKH)

ARKANSAS FOUNDATION FOR MEDICAL CARE, INC.

DEFENDANT

MOTION TO EXTEND PLAINTIFFS' TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT

The Plaintiffs' by and through their attorneys, Gilker and Jones, P.A, submits its Motion to Extend the Plaintiffs' time to respond to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in support thereof the Plaintiffs' state as follows:

- 1. Under the Local Rules the Plaintiffs have until February 8, 2019 to respond to the Defendants Motion for Summary Judgement that was filed after five o'clock on Friday January 25 2019.
- 2. The Plaintiffs' respectfully request an extension until February 12, 2019 to file their Response to the Motion for Summary Judgment. The Defendant's Motion and attached exhibits and supporting documents involve several hundred pages of information and thus involve a significant time investment to prepare the Plaintiffs' response. Plaintiffs' in addition to responding to this matter has been required to address other matters during the Response period permitted under the Local Rule.
- 3. This Motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of delay or any other improper purpose.
 - 4. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.2, no Brief is required in connection with this Motion.

5. Plaintiffs' counsel has requested the Defendant's counsel's position concerning

this motion. In response the Defendant has requested in the interest of transparency that the

Plaintiffs' set forth the Defendant's position that the Plaintiffs have a pattern of requesting

numerous extensions to various deadlines throughout this litigation. The final paragraph of

Defendant's counsels' position with respect to this Motion is as follows:

"Given this pattern, if you have a good and justifiable reason to support your most

recent request for an extension (which was not stated in the initial email), AFMC

will not take a position agreeing with or otherwise opposing your request for leave

of the Court to extend the time otherwise required by Rule 7.2 of the Local

Rules. We ask, however, that—for transparency—you represent that AFMC's

decision to not otherwise agree or oppose your request for leave is because of the

extensions to which you have already requested and received, as set forth above."

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs' respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion to

extend the deadline to respond to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement until February

12, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael R. Jones

Michael R. Jones

GILKER AND JONES, P.A.

9222 North Highway 71

Mountainburg, AR 72946

mrjoneslaw@aol.com

2